



**Pusat
Sains Matematik**

Group Name

Category

Jury Name

Element	Criterion	Description	0	1 (Poor)	2 (Weak)	3 (Satisfactory)	4 (Good)	5 (Excellent)	Weighted	Given Score	Score	Comments/ Remarks
Interactive Dashboard	Visual Clarity	Clear charts and graphs	The charts and graphs are unclear, poorly designed, and difficult to interpret. There is no meaningful visual support for understanding the data.	The charts and graphs are minimally clear but contain significant issues such as clutter, missing labels, or poor formatting that make interpretation difficult.	The charts and graphs are somewhat clear, but they lack consistency or proper labelling. Some visual elements are understandable, while others are confusing.	The charts and graphs are generally clear and readable. Most labels and elements are properly presented, with only minor issues affecting interpretation.	The charts and graphs are visually clear, well-designed, and properly labelled. They effectively support understanding of the data with minimal distractions.	The charts and graphs are highly clear, accurate, and professionally presented. They are well-designed, fully labelled, and strongly enhance understanding of the data.	1		0	
	Insight Relevance	Meaningful insights	No meaningful insights are provided, and the presentation does not interpret the results in any useful way.	Insights are very limited and mostly irrelevant, with little connection to the data or problem context.	Some basic insights are provided, but they are superficial and only partially related to the analysis or objectives.	The presentation provides relevant insights that are generally meaningful and connected to the analysis, although some points could be deeper or more developed.	Insights are clearly relevant, well-explained, and demonstrate good understanding of the data and problem context.	The presentation delivers highly meaningful and well-developed insights that are strongly linked to the data, showing deep understanding and strong analytical interpretation.	1		0	
	Interactivity	Basic usability and navigation	The system is not interactive and is very difficult to use. Navigation is confusing or non-functional, making it impossible for users to engage with the content.	The system has very limited interactivity and poor usability. Navigation is unclear, inconsistent, and frustrating for users.	The system has basic interactivity, but usability is inconsistent. Navigation works in some parts but is not smooth or intuitive.	The system is generally usable with acceptable interactivity. Navigation is clear and mostly easy to follow, with minor usability issues.	The system is interactive and user-friendly. Navigation is smooth, intuitive, and supports easy exploration of content.	The system is highly interactive with excellent usability. Navigation is seamless, intuitive, and highly efficient, allowing users to explore content effortlessly.	1		0	
	Creativity	Design attractiveness	The design is unattractive and shows no creativity. It appears dull, inconsistent, and unengaging, with no visual appeal.	The design has very limited creativity and is poorly presented. Visual elements are inconsistent and fail to attract attention or interest.	The design shows some basic creativity, but it is simple and lacks strong visual appeal. Overall presentation is only moderately engaging.	The design is visually appealing with a reasonable level of creativity. It is generally attractive and engaging, with some thoughtful design elements.	The design is creative and visually attractive. It is well-balanced, aesthetically pleasing, and effectively captures audience attention.	The design is highly creative and exceptionally attractive. It is visually striking, innovative, and professionally crafted, leaving a strong positive impression.	1		0	
TOTAL (20%)											0	

Overall Remarks
